

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o The Senior Superitendent of Police, State Vigilance Bureau, Pb, Bathinda (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, Vigilance Bureau, Pb Vigilance Bhawan, Sector-68, S.A.S Nagar(Pb)

.....Respondent

AC No. 3671 of 2018 Through CISCO webex

Present: (i) Sh. Rajinder Kumar the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh Sandeep, DSP Mansa (99150-21274) and Sh. Rajesh Kumar, SI (9888805958)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with the previous order dated 29.07.2020 passed in this case.

2. Brief facts of the case are that Appeal Case No. 3671 of 2018 was disposed of on 11.03.2019 by the Bench of SIC Sh. Ajit Singh Chanduraian with direction to the respondent PIO that whenever enquiry would be completed report shall be given to the applicant.

3. The respondent PIO vide letter no. 2456/ VB/A.C-2 dated 03.06.2019 brought to the notice of the Commission that the above decision is against the facts of the case because the Vigilance Bureau had never mentioned in its reply filed in the Commission regarding this case. It was brought on record that information sought by the appellant relates to FIR No. 11/17 Police Station VB Bathinda and the same is under trial in the Court. It was requested to review the above said order.

4. The appellant time and again represented to the Commission that order dated 11.03.2019 passed in this case be got implemented. He also pointed out that the Commission cannot review its order at its own.

5. Keeping in view the circumstances of the case, it has been reallocated to this Bench for further necessary action giving reference of orders dated 03.03.2020



AC No. 3671 of 2018

passed by the Bench of Sh. Nidharak Singh Brar State Information Commissioner in similar Appeal Case No. 3817 of 2018 of this appellant.

6. This case was earlier heard on 29.07.2020 and the respondents were directed to file point wise specific reply in respect of the RTI application. The same has been furnished vide no. 2994/VB/S-14 dated 09.09.2020 which is taken on record. Perusal of this reply shows that the appellant is filing false complaints to pressurize the Vigilance Officers to hinder the action been taken against him. It has been very clearly mentioned that no enquiry relating to this FIR is pending with the Vigilance Bureau and the challan of this case has been presented in the Court on 30.07.2018 and the next dated of hearing is 26.10.2020 in the Court of Additional Session Judge Mansa.

7. In view of the submissions made by the respondents and the documents available in the case file, it transpires that clerical mistake has occurred in the order dated 11.03.2019 passed by Sh. Ajit Singh Chanduraian SIC because the respondents had never intimated the Commission that inquiry is pending in this case. Therefore this order requires modification and the same is accordingly modified to the extent that the supply of information sought by the appellant can hamper the prosecution of the case and as such the respondents have rightly denied the information under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Moreover, the Reply submitted by the Respondents has been examined and found to be satisfactory. Further, the appellant has also been intimated accordingly, therefore no further action in this case is required and the case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Dated : 14.09.2020

Sd/-(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab



.....Appellant

Sh Rajinder Kumar, S/o Sh Mehar Chand (M: 9888979250) Ward No.2, Supreme Enclave, Near Vishavkaran Bhawan, Link Road, Mansa

..Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o Chief Director cum ADGP, State Vigilance Bureau, Pb Chd Vigilance Bhawan, Sector-68, S.A.S Nagar(Pb)

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Director cum ADGP, State Vigilance Bureau, PbChd Vigilance Bhawan, Sector-68, S.A.S Nagar(Pb)

.....Respondent

AC No. 3816 of 2018 Through CISCO webex

Present: (i) Sh. Rajinder Kumar the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Rajesh Kumar, SI (9888805958)

ORDER

This order may be read with the previous order dated 29.07.2020 passed in this case.

2. Brief facts of the case are that Appeal Case No. 3816 of 2018 was disposed of on 11.03.2019 by the Bench of SIC Sh. Ajit Singh Chandurajan with direction to the respondent PIO that whenever enquiry would be completed report shall be given to the applicant.

The respondent PIO vide letter no. 26310/ VB/S-14 dated 14/05/2019 3. brought to the notice of the Commission that the above decision is against the facts of the case because the Vigilance Bureau had never mentioned in its reply filed in the Commission regarding this case. It was brought on record that information sought by the appellant relates to FIR No. 11/17 Police Station VB Bathinda and the same is under trial in the Court. It was requested to review the above said order.

4. The appellant time and again represented to the Commission that order dated 11.03.2019 passed in this case be got implemented. He also pointed out that the Commission cannot review its order at its own.

5. Keeping in view the circumstances of the case, it has been reallocated to this Bench for further necessary action giving reference of orders dated 03.03.2020



AC No. 3816 of 2018

passed by the Bench of Sh. Nidharak Singh Brar State Information Commissioner in similar Appeal Case No. 3817 of 2018 of this appellant.

6. This case was earlier heard on 29.07.2020 and the respondents were directed to file point wise specific reply in respect of the RTI application. The same has been furnished vide no. 29274/VB/S-14 dated 07.09.2020 which is taken on record. Perusal of this reply shows that the appellant is filing false complaints to pressurize the Vigilance Officers to hinder the action been taken against him. It has been very clearly mentioned that no enquiry relating to this FIR is pending with the Vigilance Bureau and the challan of this case has been presented in the Court on 30.07.2018 and the next dated of hearing is 05.09.2020 in the Court of Additional Session Judge Mansa. The respondents have also attached copy of order dated 10.01.2019 passed by Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP 169 of 2019 titled as Rajinder Kumar Vs State of Punjab and Others. On the basis of this order the respondents have taken the stand that in case the supply of information adversely affect the prosecution and the same cannot be supplied. In view of this the Writ of the petitioner who is appellant in this case was dismissed finding no merit in the same.

7. In view of the submissions made by the respondents and the documents available in the case file, it transpires that clerical mistake has occurred in the order dated 11.03.2019 passed by Sh. Ajit Singh Chanduraian SIC because the respondents had never intimated the Commission that inquiry is pending in this case. Therefore this order requires modification and the same is accordingly modified to the extent that the supply of information sought by the appellant can hamper the prosecution of the case and as such the respondents have rightly denied the information under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Moreover, the Reply submitted by the Respondents has been examined and found to be satisfactory. Further, the appellant has also been intimated accordingly, therefore no further action in this case is required and the case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Dated : 14.09.2020

Sd/-(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab



..Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/oChief Director cum ADGP, State Vigilance Bureau, PbChd Vigilance Bhawan, Sector-68, S.A.S Nagar(Pb)

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Director cum ADGP, State Vigilance Bureau, PbChd Vigilance Bhawan, Sector-68, S.A.S Nagar(Pb)

.....Respondent

AC No. 57 of 2019 Through CISCO webex

Present: (i) Sh. Rajinder Kumar the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Rajesh Kumar, SI (9888805958)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with the previous order dated 29.07.2020 passed in this case.

2. Brief facts of the case are that Appeal Case No. 57 of 2019 was disposed of on 12.03.2019 by the Bench of SIC Sh. Ajit Singh Chanduraian with direction to the respondent PIO that whenever enquiry would be completed report shall be given to the applicant.

3. The respondent PIO vide letter no. 26309/ VB/S-14 dated 14/05/2019 brought to the notice of the Commission that the above decision is against the facts of the case because the Vigilance Bureau had never mentioned in its reply filed in the Commission regarding this case. It was brought on record that information sought by the appellant relates to FIR No. 11/17 Police Station VB Bathinda and the same is under trial in the Court. It was requested to review the above said order.

4. The appellant time and again represented to the Commission that order dated 12.03.2019 passed in this case be got implemented. He also pointed out that the Commission cannot review its order at its own.

5. Keeping in view the circumstances of the case, it has been reallocated to this Bench for further necessary action giving reference of orders dated 03.03.2020



AC No. 57 of 2019

passed by the Bench of Sh. Nidharak Singh Brar State Information Commissioner in similar Appeal Case No. 3817 of 2018 of this appellant.

6. This case was earlier heard on 29.07.2020 and the respondents were directed to file point wise specific reply in respect of the RTI application. The same has been furnished vide no. 29271/VB/S-14 dated 04.09.2020 which is taken on record. Perusal of this reply shows that the appellant is filing false complaints to pressurize the Vigilance Officers to hinder the action been taken against him. It has been very clearly mentioned that no enquiry relating to this FIR is pending with the Vigilance Bureau and the challan of this case has been presented in the Court on 30.07.2018 and the next dated of hearing is 05.09.2020 in the Court of Additional Session Judge Mansa. The respondents have also attached copy of order dated 10.01.2019 passed by Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP 169 of 2019 titled as Rajinder Kumar Vs State of Punjab and Others. On the basis of this order the respondents have taken the stand that in case the supply of information adversely affect the prosecution and the same cannot be supplied. In view of this the Writ of the petitioner who is appellant in this case was dismissed finding no merit in the same.

7. In view of the submissions made by the respondents and the documents available in the case file, it transpires that clerical mistake has occurred in the order dated 12.03.2019 passed by Sh. Ajit Singh Chanduraian SIC because the respondents had never intimated the Commission that inquiry is pending in this case. Therefore this order requires modification and the same is accordingly modified to the extent that the supply of information sought by the appellant can hamper the prosecution of the case and as such the respondents have rightly denied the information under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Moreover, *The Reply submitted by the Respondents has been examined and found to be satisfactory.* Further, the appellant has also been intimated accordingly, therefore no further action in this case is required and the case is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Dated : 14.09.2020

Sd/-(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Rajnish Kumar (Prof.) PresidentDAV Colleges Retired Teachers Association (Regd)# 19274, Street No. 6, Bibi Wala Road, Bathinda (9815313238)

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Registrar Punjabi University, Patiala

First Appellate Authority o/o Registrar, Punjabi University, Patiala

.....Respondent

A<u>C.No. 910 of 2020</u> Through CISCO-WEBEX

..Vs

Present: (i) Sh Rajnish Kumar, Appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh Surajpreet, counsel for the Respondent. (<u>M-98554-93346</u>) ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous dated 08.07.2020.

2. The Respondent states that the complete information has been provided to the Appellant.

3. The Appellant states that he has received the same and is satisfied with it.

4. Since, the complete information has been provided to the Appellant therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 14.09.2020

(Preety Chawla)

State Information Commissioner Punjab